

N2T Vol 8

I feel my questions haven't been addressed.

1) Independent review isn't the same as an independent AUDIT - why isn't an independent audit being carried out to show how expensive pylons are please?

2)TGB - 'This has been tried and tested through numerous previous projects, the formal Examination process',

Maybe projects haven't been scrutinised to this level before. Just because you haven't been made to use TGB in the past, certainly doesn't make it right - for best practice and best outcome this book SHOULD be applied.

New cost should be included:- BNG (you are saying its voluntary - its now compulsory) and community benefits!

Only 10% contingency is ridiculous on such a large project - TGB states 40%.

Socio-economic impact and natural capital impact.

This is why an independent audit is critical to find out the true cost this project.

3) More important and still remains ignored, PACEMAKERS (even though NG say 'The Applicant has also sought to reduce concern or uncertainty about the proposals through inclusive and transparent engagement with residents, communities and stakeholders throughout the development of the Project', this is so untrue - none of my emails have been answered regarding this issue. Draper and Prof Denis Henshaw reports are both missing,

Looking at HVAC verses HVDC :-

DC, reduced transmission losses (more efficient over long distances, no need of multiple substations), better stability and control, lower EMF (Govt has been warned not to build pylons near homes - our homes are already here and should be protected), space and visual impact, less technical challenges, flexibility in network design, cost effectiveness for long distances (N2T is the longest OHL NG have proposed).

4) Although trees have a mention in the Waveney Valley response, the harm this project will cause to 180kms of trees and hedges is killing any benefits this project brings.

Kind Regards,

Nikki Maguire